G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1886-7

125

File No. 5-86

192 .

Serial Nos. 1 to 33

(A & A-)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 33

Part B for January 1893

SUBJECT.

Pensions of Jas W. Bayliss, late Chief Jailor Central Jail, Bangalore

---

1 to 33. To The Comptroller & Inspector Genl of Prisons.

No 13070-1 dt 26-1-1893

4 24-18-92

Submitting for sanction & communication to the H. G. of Prisons copy of L. Tel No 185 dt 20-1-93 from H. A. Resident 199-86 & enclosing a pension of Rs 12 p.m. to Jas W. Bayliss late Chief Jailor, central Jail Bangalore

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

Landord 3/2/92

File No. 2/3992 – General Branch

Subject. Pension of Mr. W. Baylis, late Chief Jailer, Central Jail, Bangalore –.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date.	From or to whom.	Subject in abstract.
1.	534.	11/9/86.	To I.G. of Prisons.	Enquiring if Mr Baylis desires to contribute towards a British pension from March 1881.
2.	533/J	18/9/86	From Supdt Cent Jail	Forwarding Mr Baylis's letter requesting that he may be pensioned according to the rule of proportions.
3.	D.O.	1/8/87.	From Mr I.G. Plumer.	Forwarding a letter from the Supdt Cent Jail requesting that Mr Baylis may be granted an anticipatory pension.
4.	2040.	27/8/87.	From I.G. of Prisons.	Forwarding Copy of a letter No 439 of the 23rd inst and of its enclosure from the Supdt Cent Jail and recommending that Govt may be pleased to pay one half of the contribution towards pension of Mr Baylis and that orders for his pension may be passed at an early date
5.	3248/230	2/9/87.	To Comptr	Directing him to pay an anticipatory pension to Mr Baylis.
6.	Applr.	6/9/87.	From Mr Baylis	Stating that he has paid Rs 712-5-0 half of the contribution payable by him and requesting an early disposal of his pension application

File No.

Subject.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date.	From or to whom.	Subject in abstract.
7.	231.	3/10/87.	From I. G. of Prisons.	Forwarding in original letter no 504 of 8th ultimo with enclosures from the Supdt of the Central Jail Trans-mitting a memorial from L in regard to his pension
8.	5036/418	14/12/87	To Asst Resdt	Forwarding the memorial
9.	102/1996	12/1/88	From do —	Returning the memorial for a full report on Mr Baylis's claims.
10	6165/449	18/1/88	To Comptr	Forwarding Copy of the above for his opinion on the claims of Mr Baylis for pension.
11.	3576.	6/2/88	From do —	Stating with reasons that Mr Baylis may be paid a pension in accordance with the rule of proportion and that no contribution may be taken from him
12.	14.	30/9/88	To the Resident	Stating that Contribution for a full pension for Mr Baylis from

File No.

Subject

Table of Contents.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date	From or to whom	Subject in abstract
				The British Govt may be accepted from the Bay lis & the Durbar in equal Amounts.
13.	3584 / 1996	25/10/88.	From P. A Resdy.	Stating that the Memorial said to have been forwarded with the above has not been received.
14.	C. 1630.	9/11/88.	To do —	Forwarding the Memorial.
15.	38.	21/3/89.	To Resident.	Stating that it would be impossible for the Durbar to bear pecuniary Charges according to the Rule of proportion for the entire period of service in Mysore than to pay a Contribution for Service Since 1st Oct 1882 And a proportionate Share of Pension for Service before that date.

File No.

Subject

Table of Contents.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date	From or to whom	Subject in abstract
16.	C. 162.	11/6/89	To Comptr.	Requesting that a Copy of the Service Register of Mr Baylis may be forwarded for reference
17.	1353.	13/7/89.	From — do —	Forwarding the Service Register.
18.	3860/259	24/7/89	To do —	Requesting information on certain points re Mr Baylis's pension & contributions.
19.	1767.	29/7/89	From Comptr	Furnishing the information called for
20.	5080/236	12/8/89	To Asst Resdr.	Stating that the Deputy Commissioner has agreed to pay Contributions for Mr Baylis's pension from the date of the Rendition & also accepts the liability to pay hereafter a proportionate pension for his service in the Orange Free State before the Rendition.

File No.

Subject

Table of Contents.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date	From or to whom	Subject in abstract
21.	9957 / 683	6/12/90	To Comptr.	Asking what the procedure in the disposal of pension applications of officers whose service has been partly in Br India and partly in Mysore &c.
22.	297 / 1996.	28/1/91.	From F.A. Resdy.	Stating that the Govt of India have asked to be furnished with full particulars with respect to the claims of other employees in Mysore for Br pension forwarding a copy of the above for Comparance
23.	1284 / 831	17/2/91.	To Comptr.	
24.	109.	2/4/91.	From —do—	Enclosing a statement in the prescribed form of the officers who had served under the Br Govt before the Reforms and entered the Mysore Service either by transfer or resigning their appointments in the Br Govt.

File No.

Subject

Table of Contents.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date	From or to whom	Subject in abstract
25.	449. / 37	11/4/91	To Comptr	Requesting that a pres. Statement of officers of the Kind alluded to in D.L. 24 may be forwarded removing the defects pointed out.
26.	457 / 16	13/4/91	To F. A. Res. do	Telling him of the Correspondence with the Comptr re the Statement of officers who have served partly in B. & India & partly in Mysore.
27.	218. / 199.6	23/1/92	From — do	Stating that the Govt of India authorize the acceptance of the pensionary Contribution due upon Mr Bayliss pay from 12th Oct 1882 (830 Kanty 87) and sanctioning the grant to him of a pension on condition that the State pays its proportion of the pension for Service in Mysore from 24th Nov 78 to 30th Sept 82

File No.

Subject

Table of Contents.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date	From or to whom	Subject in abstract
28.	5071	10/2/92	From Comptr.	Enclosing a letter from the Fort General Madras and requesting Sanction for the payment of the Contribution mentioned in the Same —
29.	13953-4. 1577	5/11/92	To G-O.	Sanctioning Rs 1425 being the Contribution payable to the Madras Govt on Mr Baylis pay.
30.	5715	10/3/92	From Comptr	Stating that the above Amount has been paid to the Resident's Dry on the 5th Inst.
31.	814.	2/8/92	From do —	Subg the application for pension on behalf of Mr Baylis with his Service duly verified —
32.	2735.	18/8/92	To F.A. Madr.	Forwarding Copy of the above & requesting that Sanction of the Madras Govt may be obtained for the pay ment of pension to Mr Baylis —

File No.

Subject

Table of Contents.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date	From or to whom	Subject in abstract
33.	185. / 199. 86	26/1/93	From J. A. Reade	Forwarding Copy of order of the Madras Govt Sanctioning to Mr Bayliss a pension of Rs 125. and the proportion in which this $ to be borne by India Madras & Mysore Govts
34.	13070	26/1/93	Govt	Forwarding Copy of the above —

(36)

Memorandum.

Statement of Officers who have rendered Service under the British Government before they took up Service in Mysore prior to Rendition — prepared in this Office for transmission to the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore with reference to his letter No. 297/199.6 dated 28th January 1891.

Items 2, 23 and 33, Mr. E. L. Subrayer, V. Parthasarady Iyengar and S. Ry. Chamiengy —

The names of these Officers who are still in the Service of the State, were included in the State —

2.

Assistant Resident in May 1887. But the Comptroller has not included their names in the list now furnished by him. They have, however, been included in the one prepared in this Office with the particulars given in the one previously sent to the Resident.

Approved - Inform Comptroller accordingly

The remarks made by the Comptroller against this Officer's name (vide item 41, Comptroller's statement) are as follows:—

"Was entertained
"in the Chief Engineer's
"Office as Clerk on
"Rs 100 on 31st May
"and transferred

Item 3, Inv. R. F. Scalowell.

" to the Coorg 3 P. W. "
" in which he served
" from 8th November 1866
" to 25th September 1873
" and was then re-
" transfered to Mysore
" P. W. D. on 26th September
" 1873. The Mysore
" Durbar has ruled
" that service under the
" British Government
" in Coorg viz 6-10-18 to 31. Dec. do
" be regarded as service
" under the Mysore
" Govt in the event of
" retirement on medical
" Certificate".

The portion bracketed in red ink has been omitted in the Statement prepared in this Office for transmission to the Resident

I dont see the reason of properly omitting it. Better insert it as entered by Comptroller

Yes - it is desirable
that ... should be
known if such a step
recognised by the Govt. It is

4

Please see remarks made against this Officer's name in the statement. Mr. Lee appears to have been in continuous Govt. Service from 8th June 1855 to 28th February 1865 (under the Madras Govt.) and from 1st March 1865 to 1st August 1867 (under the Mysore Govt.). After a break of 13-1-8 viz: from the latter date to 8th September 1880, during which he was out of Govt. employ, he rejoined the Mysore Service on 9th September 1880. The period of break being long, Mr. Lee has no claim the British Govt.

Item 4, Mr. Plantain Lee

The point in question only where the time for pension comes in.

for the service rendered
by him thereunder upto
28th February 1865, nor
has he any on the
Singore Govt. for that
rendered from 1st March
1865 to 1st August 1867,
as it has recently
been decided by the
Govt. that he should
be treated as belonging
to the permanent service
of the State from
9th September 1880,
the date of his re-
employment in Singore
with all privileges
as regards leave,
pension or gratuity
which attach to that
service - vide Proceedings
of the Govt. in P. W. D.
No. 210/12 dt. 7th May
1891. (Papers obtained
and sent up) For

In these reasons his name will be expunged from the statement if approved.

No Receipt. The merits of the case can be judged of only when the question of pension arises. [illegible]

Item 5, Mr. A. A Agharkar.

The remarks made in the Comptroller's statement against this Officer are the following:—

"was employed as
" an overseer, Ratnagiri
" Local Fund Department
" on Rs. 150 from 13th January
" 1871 to 31st March
" 1875 and as a temporary
" overseer in the Bombay
" P.W.D. on Rs. 150 from
" 1st April to 19th May
" 1875, after which date
" he was on leave without
" allowances upto 2nd
" June 1875 and was
" transferred to Umpod
" Service from 3rd June
" 1875 as Assistant Engineer

" on Rs 250 as per
" Bombay Govt Resolution
" No 162E dd 4th April
" 1876". The Singore
" Govt have in their
" letter of 15th April 1884
" made some concession
" to the effect that they
" will recognize half
" his British Service
" (viz: yrs 2-1-10 dys) if he does
" not pay the required
" contribution to the
" British Govt and in
" addition to this the
" Singore Govt will also
" regard the other half
" of his British Service
" (yrs 2-1-10 dys) as Singore Service
" if Mr Nagavatar be
" compelled to retire
" on a medical Certificate
" before putting in
" sufficient other Service
" to entitle him to a
" pension and that with
the

"these conceptions he
"need not pay contri-
"-bution towards pension
"from British Govt."

As is done in
Wm Scalowell's case,
the portion bracketed
in red ink has been
omitted in the statement
subject to approval

Better keep it - Let each case have its own file

Yes

This Officer is Item 15, Gazafer Beg.
purely a Military Officer
and the Comptroller
should not have included
his name in the state-
-ment at all - There
is some correspondence
in the Office about
this Officer which took
place between the late
Chief Commr of Mysore
and the Madras Govt.
Gazafer Beg was
Havildar Major in the
4th Regiment of the

the Madras Cavalry.
In June 1874 he was
transferred to the
Mysore Service to fill
the post of Resaldar,
which was then vacant
in the 2d Regiment
of the Mysore Horse.
On the question as to
the course that should
be taken to secure to
Ghazafer Beg, the benefit
of his past service in
Persia being raised,
the Madras Govt then
decided that he would
be entitled to a pension
of Rs 7 per mensem
for his 18 years service
under the British Govt.
(Papers not forthcoming in the records).
Thus Ghazafer Beg's
case having already
been decided and
he being a military
officer there is no
need for his name

Keep the case & file
the full papers of it

His service
with Mysore
& Khanderao —
& Shimoga —
to be noted for
Record

being included in the statement. It will be struck off the same if permitted to do so.

These are evidently double entries made in the Comptroller's Office and one of these items viz: 17 to be struck off the statement.

Items 16 & 17.
Krishnamurthi Rmr

Yes Sir

This Officer resigned his service under the Madras Govt. in October 1875. In view to the service rendered by him under the British Govt. prior to his resignation being counted towards pension, his case was submitted to the Govt. of India through the Resident. But the Resident has intimated that the Govt.

Item 18, Mr. J. J. O'connell.

of India are unable to make any special concession in his favour. Correspondence ending in this office letter No 1337/18 of 5th May 1891 to the Registrar Chief Court of Mysore (Papers herewith). The item has therefore to be struck off the statement.

His official, it is remarked, was employed in the office of the Clerk of the Crown and Crown Prosecutor, Madras, as Deputy Manager on Rs 70 per mensem and was retired on gratuity during the revision of the establishment of that office. He was then entertained in the Judicial Commissioner's Office, Mysore, and was permitted by the Accountant General

Keep the case & bill
state the facts -
Sir
Yes

Item 27, P.R. Rama-
swamy Chetty

Madras to refund the
Gratuity and count his
past service. But
owing to default in
the payment of one
instalment, the Accd.
General, Madras, refused
to accept subsequent
instalments. It is
doubtful whether the
Govt. of India would
overlook the default
at this distant period
and recognize his
previous service under
the Madras Govt. Orders
are therefore solicited
as to whether the item
should be retained
in, or expunged from,
the statement.

He was employed
in the Topographical
Survey Party employed in

Keep, but carefully
state the facts fully
Sec

Item 34, B. Service
— passengers.

in Mysore on 6th Jan.
He is said to have
resigned the service on
completion of the survey
in Mysore from 14th
October 1886 and have
been employed till
27th November 1886,
the date on which
he entered the Mysore
Service - His entry
into Mysore Service being
subsequent to the
Rendition and he having
voluntarily resigned
his Service in the
Topographical Survey,
he has no claim
on the British Govt.
for his past service.
His name has there-
fore to be omitted
in the Statement.

Item 49, Khem Prasad. It is remarked
against this Official's



name that he was employed as Deputy Head Constable in the Coimbatore D^t on Rs 12 1/2 per mensem, which he resigned and remained without employment from 1st September 1866 to 29th April 1875, i.e. until he secured the appointment of Police Jamedar on Rs 15/- in the Tinnevelly district

The break being long viz: 14-7-29 yrs. 9 mos — days this official has no just ground to put forward his claim for pension for his British Service. The item therefore will be struck off the statement if approved.

This official is said

Item 50, Krishna Rao

said to have served as a Mutsaddi in the Yelwal Residency Bungalow at Mysore on Rs 6/- per mensem and from there he was transferred to the Nanjangode Labour as a Batta amin. From this it is clear that the official was all along in Mysore service. His name which has been included by the Comptroller by mistake should be removed from the statement

Yes - Mysore Comptroller's accordingly Yes

Item 58, Adam Shariff. His name was included in the statement received from the Comptroller in 1886, but was omitted in the one sent from this office to the Resident in May 1887, nor is it included

in that now received
from the Comptroller.
From enquiries made
in the Amrit Mahal
department it is learnt
that this official
is now out of employ
in consequence of
his having been thrown
out of employment
owing to the reduction
in the Amrit Mahal
Herds made in April
1897, and that conse-
quently his name was
not included in the
list furnished to the
Comptroller. The man
is however, said to be
petitioning the Amrit
Mahal office for
an appointment. In
case of his not being
provided

provided with an appointment soon he is sent to put in an application for pension or gratuity to which he may be entitled under the rules - His name has therefore been included in the state- ment subject to approval.

Item 59, Kalli Mullakkan. His name was omitted in the state- ment sent by the Superintendent as he was absent on leave when the Paymaster was on board. His name has however been included in the one prepared in this office.

Mahomed Ghouse, Chief Serang

Daroga Consist Medical Dept-

His Officiating name is omitted in the Comptroller's statement by oversight. He has however been included in the one prepared in this office.

This Officiating name was included in the list furnished by the Comptroller in 1886, but not in that sent from this office to the Resident in May 1887. It is however, learnt that the man

Let the state be furnished in respect of this man's case may be omitted I think

In whose cases suspending or under dis- cussion

is dead and his name has therefore been omitted in the statement prepared in this office.

Allowed

D. Venkatappiah,
Amildar Sirab
Taluk.

This Office was included in this office list sent to the Resident in May 1887. The man has since died. Hence the omission in the present list.

Allowed

The other items entered in the statement call for no remark, and may be allowed to stand.

The one name { omitted
that has been
both in the Comptroller's and this Office state-
ments is that of Ananthu
Ramiengar, retired
Munsiff, Kolectarapun
Munsiff's Court.

His remain papers are not forthcoming in the records. They are however, being searched for and the necessary particulars as regards his British Service will be furnished to the Resident hereafter, a mention of the fact being made in the covering letter if approved.

Submitted for orders.

Lt Q
10/8/91

yes ~~don't make~~
~~Lee~~ Fitz

yes

R O Lee

The last sent to the Residency in May 1887 which was Rev Caldwell on ~~of~~ leave one Coloured only 15 leaves. Whereas 75 leaves ask ~~for~~ Rev have more than 50 leaves.
Write Theatre for Rev Gr. & Dr Harris Lectures these two last ~~21~~ 22

L. O'

Sir,

We last sent to the President in 1887 included only the names of such of the Officers as were transferred to the Insane Service with the consent of the heads of their respective Departments and on the understanding that their pensions would be debitable according to the rule of proportions whereas the one now to be sent includes not only the names of Officers who were transferred to the Insane Service with the consent of

of their Heads of Depart-
ments, but also of
those that have
secured appointments
on Imposed after
resigning British Service
Hence the disparity.
Submitted.

Eng
17/8/91 Vide my minute
above noted - Correct
Statement according to
Abstract with last letter
to Asst Resident

24/8 24/8

The necessary
information required
including Anantha Ramayya's
case has been gathered
from the papers in the
records of the Comptroller's
office & from those fur-
nished by Anantha-
ramaiya himself, who
happened to be at
Bangalore at the time

of preparing the dft
letter to the Asst. Resident
C.I. in September 1891,
and his name included
in the statement.

Submitted with two
drafts for approval viz —

1. Letter to the Asst.
Resident forwarding the
statement — and

2. Order reviewing the
statements furnished
by the Comptroller

Encl
3/10/91

OFFICE OF THE COMPTR
Bangalore 21 8

Chairman

Put this with the
file which is with you
& send on to
Mr Miller for
draft letter
Dispatched
25/8

3/10/91

T. Ananda Rao

426 23

W. Baylis Pension file

Submitted. 24

W. Baylis' Memorial to H.E. the Viceroy requesting that his British Service may be counted towards pension on his paying the prescribed contribution since Oct. 1882, was finally sent up to Resident with the Sec'y's Letter No 1630 dtd 9th Nov 1888

In reply, the Resident wanted to know whether in the event of pensionary contribution being accepted by Mr. Baylis, the Durbar were prepared to accept a portion of his pension for Mysore service prior to Oct. 1882, in addition to paying half of the Contribution leviable from him from that date.

The Dewan thereupon drafted a reply on the 21st March 1889, which is herewith submitted. On the same day, he wrote to the Resident a letter regarding

Mr Thumboo Chettyar's
pension, and when
the fair copy of the letter
regarding Mr Baylis
was put before him,
he directed that the
Resident's reply to the
letter regarding
Mr Thumboo Chettyar's
pension should be awaited.

Thus the fair copy prepared
was not despatched.
It may now issue and
Mr. Baylis be told that
a final reply to the reference
is awaited from the
Resident.

D.O. Letter of 5th Sept 89
from the Asstt to the Resident
regarding Mr. Thumboo Chettyar's British
pension is the last com-
-munication on the
subject and is put up
in the file herewith submitted.

JMR
18/7/90

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

From The Chief Secretary

To The Inspector General of Prisons - Ceylon

Dated 11-9-86

Despatched 11th Central Jail

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. ✓

No. 534

Sir,

From a statement received from the Comptroller to the Imperial Government, it appears that Mr. Bayliss, Chief Jailor Bungalow Central Jail, was in British Service from 4th Nov. 1859 to 23rd January 1875. I am directed to request that it may be ascertained, for communication to the Resident, whether the said Officer wishes to retain a status

British service,
d is willing to
tribute towards
British pension
th arrears from
date of the Pen-
tion of the Province
March 1861.

Thankful

Chief Executive

Camp.
Give this to Krishnan Gen
& file with the papers
from the Secretariat
20/9/86 RS

25

1886. 8499
OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

From

The Superintendent
Central Jail, Bangalore.

(2)

To

Chief
The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,
Department.

Dated 18th September 1886.
Despatched
Received 22/9/86

1 A 5-86-2

ENCLOSURES NO. 1

General No 535
No. J

Sir,

In reply to your letter No: 534 dated 11th instant, I have the honor to state that — Mr. Boyle, the Chief Jailor, does not choose to retain a status in British Service for reasons stated in his letter which is herein enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant
Illegible
Offg. Superintendent

3/3/87

Rec'd 18.9.86

26

Central Jail
Superintendents Office
Bangalore 18th September 1886.

To.

The Superintendent
Central Jail, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to letter No 534 dated 11th Sept/86, from the Chief Secretary to the Devan, I beg respectfully to state that I have no wish to retain a Status in British Service, and therefore beg to be exempted from contributing towards a British Pension a portion of which I am entitled to for the 15 years' Service I put in under the British Government.

My Services (after 15 years) were applied for by the Mysore Government and with the Sanction of the British Government (the Inspector General of Madras Police) I was transferred to the Mysore Province. I therefore respectfully claim to receive Pension from the two Governments under the rule of proportions, as follows;-

Pension from the Madras Government for 15 years' service
Pension from the Mysore Government for 11 years' service

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt.
H. Bagshaw
Chief Jailor, Central Jail
Bangalore

3833

3787

3844.

(3)

(4)

Dear Mr Lechadre Frères

I send the enclosed letter from Sparkes Hill & Co. make the order for Baylis' pension as asked for

Yours very sincerely

J. Pleasance

I. O.



20 - 7 - 87

Dear Dr. Plummer.

As it is getting near the end of the month & nothing has been settled about Bayli's pension, do you think the Secretary would issue an order under section 139 of Civil Pension Code

for Baylis Brewer
Inspection for
the treasury until
the final agreement
has been settled.

Yours sincerely
H H Loomis

No 204

Bangalore 27th August 1887.

From

3833
30/8/87
388

C. G. Plumer Esq: (12)
Inspector General
of Prisons.

To

The Dewan of His Highness
the Maha Rajah
of Mysore.

Sir

I have the honor to forward copy of a letter No. 439 of the 23d instant, and of its enclosure, from the Superintendent Central Jail, and to recommend that Government may be pleased, under the provisions of Notification No-98 × dated 31st August 1882, to pay one half of the contribution towards pension of Mr. Baylis, late Chief Jailor Central

× Please see Sattle.

Central Jail and that orders
for his pension may be passed
at an early date.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servant

Inspector General

fb

Central Jail 31
Superintendents Office

No. 439 Enclos: 2.

Bangalore, 23d August 1887.

From,

The Superintendent 4/a
Central Jail, Bangalore.

To,

The Inspector General
of Prisons in Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that Mr. Baylis has lodged the sum of Rs: 712- 8- 0, with the Comptroller of Accounts in Mysore, under protest, that being half the amount of the Contribution, which he has been ordered by the Comptroller to pay before receiving his pension, and I request that you will move the Dewan to pass orders for the payment of the other half of the Contribution by the Mysore Government under the provision of Notification No. 98 dated 31st

32

August 82, and that Mr Baylis shall receive his pension as soon as possible, as being called upon suddenly to pay this large amount of Contribution and receiving no pay for two months, he has no funds to go on with.

I beg to enclose a copy of a letter received from the Comptroller on the subject.

I have &c

Signed H. H. Sparkes

Superintendent.

No. 1597. Comptroller's Office. Mysore

15th August 1887.

To,

The Superintendent

Central Jail

Bangalore,

Sir,

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your No: 386 dated 26th July 1887, in the matter of pension of Mr W. Baylis, late Chief Jailor, Bangalore Central Jail, I have read all the correspondence between the Mysore State and British Government on the subject and consider that Mr Baylis is liable as an officer who had a status in the British Service at the time of transfer to contribute towards his pension from the British Government for the period of his service 1st October 1882. But Mr Hudson was of opinion that Mr Baylis was entitled to a British pension for his service previous to 1st October 1882, though he has not been paying his contribution and invited the opinion of the Accountant General, Madras, in his No: 3134 dated 29th January 1885. The Accountant General made a reference on the point to the Resident but no final orders were passed. In connection with

the

the pension claim of one Mr Marchant, the Government of India have ruled that they do not object to accept liability to a share of pension of an officer who might have resigned the British service before rendition under circumstances in which similar resignation of one Government appointment to join another would not have affected his pensionary claims. The case of Mr Baylis cannot be worse than that of such an officer and as he has not contributed, the Government of India may be asked to accept a share of his pension proportionate to his services prior to his transfer to the Mysore service, the remainder being payable by Mysore.

I may add that if Mr Baylis is compelled to pay the contribution, he may

may move the Devan to sanction a refund from the Government of half the amount under the provision of Notification No: 98 dated 31st August 1882. I enclose Copy of Mr Hudson's letter referred to above.

I have &c.a

/ Signed / H. Eagles

For Comptroller

No. 3134

Comptrollers' Office

Bangalore, 15th August 1887

To

The Accountant General

Madras,

Sir,

I have the honor to state the Superintendent of the Central Jail, Bangalore has enquired of me whether the services rendered by the Chief Jailor Mr Baylis as an Inspector of Police under the Government of Madras from 4th November 1859 to 23rd November 1874 can be admitted as qualifying for a British

pension upto 1st October 1882, and to request that you will be good enough to favour me with your opinion.

As an Inspector of Police, Mr Baylis would appear to have had a "status" in the service of the British Government, and he was only transferred to service in Mysore whilst under the British Government, at the request of the Judicial Commissioner of Mysore and with the sanction of the Inspector General of Police Madras (vide copies of letters enclosed). Mr Baylis has not paid any contribution towards British pension since the reunion of Mysore, and his British service may therefore be held to have ceased from 1st October 82, the date assigned

For

for other officers of the British Estab-
-lishment serving in Mysore.

I enclose his service Register to facilitate any further enquiry that may be needed regarding his service under the Madras Government

I have &c.a

Signed H. Hudson

Comptroller of Mysore

Copy

Wm. de Lancey Hall

Registrar

f/b

0
P. 67.

7

(General Serial No. 31.) 34

1887

From R

(5)

To The Comptroller

A+A 5-86-4

Dated 2 ~~September~~ August

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 3248
No. 230

The Comptroller is requested to disburse to Mr W. Bayliss, late Chief Jailor, Central Jail, an anticipatory pension of such amount as he may be likely entitled to, pending the disposal of his case. An early compliance is requested

No

No 3249/316

Copy to Mr Bayliss for info

39

Bangalore 6th September 1887

A+A-5-86-5

6

Date by 21/10/87

To,

M. Seshadri Iyer Esquire, C. I. E.,
Dewan to H. H. the Maharajah of Mysore

Sir,

I respectfully beg to enclose for your kind perusal, printed copy of my petition addressed to His Excellency the Viceroy, ✣ through the British Resident in Mysore, regarding my Pension.

✣ Thursday

I would add, that, paying so large a Sum of Rupees 712-8-0, as contribution, after leaving the Service on Pension of half the Pay I was drawing while effective, has been a great hardship on me.

I beg to thank you for your Kindness, in passing Order No. 3248/230 dated 2nd September 1887, to the Comptroller, to disburse to me an anticipatory Pension, pending the disposal of my Case.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Late Chief Jailer - Central Jail.

P. S. I beg also to enclose for your kind perusal a printed copy of my Testimonials

No 231 4679
4|10|87
454-

40

Bangalore 3rd October 1887.

From.

A.G.G. Plumer Esquire
Inspector General of Prisons

To.

The Dewan to His Highness
the Maha Rajah of Mysore.

Sir.

I have the honor to forward, in original, letter No. 504 of the 19th ultimo, with enclosures, from the Superintendent of the Central Jail, transmitting a Memorial from Mr. Baylis, late Chief Jailor, Central Jail, in regard to his pension.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your Most obedt Servt

A.G.G. Plumer
Inspector General of Prisons

IRT

41

No. 504/J enclo: four.-

Central Jail,
Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 19th Sept. 1887.

From

The Superintendent
Central Jail, Bangalore.

To.

The Inspector General of Prisons
in Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose for your perusal a petition from Mr. W. Baylis late Chief Jailor Central Jail Bangalore, to His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General in Council with regard to his pension being settled and to request that you will kindly support and forward the same to the Dewan of Mysore that it may be forwarded through the Durbar as directed by the enclosed letter from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

H H Sparks
Superintendent

188

Bangalore Central Jail.
Superintendent's Office.

From The Superintendent,
Central Jail, Bangalore.

To The Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore

Dated 19th September/87

Despatched 20-

Received 20/9/87

Enclosures No. four only

No. 504

Subject.

Forwards for perusal a petition of Mr. W. Bayliss late Chief Jailor, to His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General in Council regarding his pension &c.

20/9/87

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—24-4-87.—1,460

No. 3031 / 1996

42
Enc 3

From

L. WHITE KING, Esq. C. S.,
Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

Mr. W Baylis
Late Chief Jailor
Central Jail - Bangalore.

Dated, Bangalore, 15th September 1887.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 6th Instant enclosing a Memorial dated 6th idem to His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General in Council praying that orders may be passed debiting your pension to the Madras Government and the Mysore State

ecordy to the rule of pro-
ortions.

I am desired to return
memorial and to say that
should be submitted by you
rough the Durbar as receipt
final orders on your claim
r pension which is still
ending

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt.

Assistant to the President

M

9/5.65

1

44

No. 5036/418 914-12-87

A+A 5-86-7

Lr. Bayliss 6

1402/1896

The Assistant to the Resident in Mysore

Re 4-

Lr. Taussig

I am desired to forward herewith for disposal, a Memorial with its enclosures addressed by Mr. W. Bayliss, late Chief Jailor, Central Jail, Bangalore, to His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor-General in Council, in regard to his pension.

I have &c

R. Chief Secy

Bombay Castle, 23rd

45

7319
161/88
1774-

No. 102 Enc 3.
1996

FROM

A.A.A.S-86-8 9

THE ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Offg: Dewan of Mysore.

Dated, Bangalore, 12th January 1888.

SIR,

With reference to your office letter No. 5036-418 dated 14th December 1887, I am directed to return the memorial addressed to His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General in Council by Mr. Baylis regarding his pension and to

request He at in result-
ing if you will favour
the Offg: President with
a full report on Mr
Baylis's claims as set
forth therein.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt,

Danl Webster

The President
&c &c &c

47

9

e

To

HIS EXCELLENCY
THE VICEROY AND GOVERNOR-GENERAL OF INDIA
IN COUNCIL.

The humble Petition of W. BAYLIS, late Chief Jailor,
Central Jail, Bangalore.

SHEWETH,

That Your Petitioner, on the 4th November 1859, entered the Police Service of the Madras Government and continued therein until his services were applied for, and, with the consent of the Inspector-General of Police the Head of his Department, he was transferred in November 1874 to the Police of Mysore while the Province was under British Administration: and as under Section 25 Clause *g* Chapter I of the Civil Pension Code, 4th Edition (Vide Appendix A) the Revenues of the Province of Mysore were considered "General Revenues," therefore any pension Your Petitioner may earn was regulated by the "Rule of Proportions" according to Section 2 Chapter I Clause *d* of the Civil Pension Code, 4th Edition (Vide Appendix B).

2. That after the rendition of the Mysore Province to Native Rule in March 1881, the Revenues of Mysore were no longer considered to form a portion of the "General Revenues," and the officers who were lent to the Mysore State had to pay contribution under Chapter III of the Civil Pension Code, 5th edition, (vide Appendix C) in return for which, the British officer had a lien on the appointment he held while under British Administration and his Pension for the service rendered under the Native State was paid by the British Government, but this ruling cannot be held to apply to Your Petitioner inasmuch as his services were not *lent* but *transferred* and he had no lien on the appointment he once held in the Madras Police. Under these circumstances, Your Petitioner humbly begs to represent that his Pension should be shared by both Governments, viz., Madras and Mysore, according to the "Rule of Proportions."

3. That Your Petitioner humbly begs to bring to the notice of Your Excellency that in a case similar to Your Petitioner's, the Government of India has ruled, that the Pension was chargeable according to the "Rule of Proportions" and the Mysore Government acquiesced in the justice of the ruling and accepted its share of Pension. The case in question was that of a Mr. T. Marchant, an employé in the Comptroller's office, Hyderabad. He was originally employed in the Judicial Commissioner's office of Mysore, while under British Administration, and having resigned his appointment he took service in the Comptroller's office, Hyderabad, and on his retirement his Pension is being borne by the Mysore Revenues and the Revenues of Berar.

4. That Your Petitioner having been informed by the British Resident of Mysore that his services in the Madras Police cannot count towards Pension unless he pays the prescribed contribution, he was, under Section 9 (*b*) clause 3 of the Civil Pension Code 6th Edition (vide Appendix D) and agreeably to para 4 of the Dewan's Notification No. 98 dated 31st August 1882 (Vide Appendix E), compelled to pay a moiety of the contribution (for Pension) which Your Petitioner has done, under protest; the other half is payable by the Mysore Government in accordance with the terms of the Notification referred to.

5. That Your Petitioner humbly prays that orders may be issued, for the payment of his Pension by both Governments according to the "Rule of Proportions" and for the refund of the amount of contribution paid by him under protest.

6. That in conclusion, Your Petitioner, having served the Government with zeal and fidelity for an extended period of 27 years, humbly entreats Your Excellency's kind and favourable consideration in his behalf, and Your Petitioner

BANGALORE,
6th *September* 1887.

WILL EVER PRAY.

W. Baylis

APPENDIX.

A

(1) Civil Pension Code, 4th Edition.

Page 2

Chapter I Definitions.

Section 2 (*g*)

General Revenues.—" General Revenues" includes Provincial Revenues and the Revenues of Mysore and Berar.

B

(2) Civil Pension Code 4th Edition.

Page 2

Chapter I Definitions.

Section 2 *(d.)*

Rule of Proportions.—When Pension or Gratuity is said to be chargeable to several accounts according to the " Rule of Proportions" the meaning is that the charge should be debited to such several accounts in the proportion in which the aggregate pay drawn by the officer during the whole of his qualifying service has been paid for them.

C

(3) Civil Pension Code 5th Edition.

Page 4.

Chapter III Foreign Service.

Section 8 (*b.*)

Government Obligation.—In return for this contribution, the Government accepts the charge for the officers' pension and also that for his absentee allowances (except in privilege leave when the Government will pay only its share according to the Rule of Proportions, and during which the contribution must be paid *in the same manner as if the officer were on duty,*) *in the same manner and to the same extent as if he were in the Regular Service of the British Government,* saving only that the calculation of Pension or Absentee Allowance is based upon 5/6 or 6/7 as pay which he retains, and not upon the full amount which he receives.

D

(4) Civil Pension Code 6th Edition.

Page 5.

Chapter III Foreign Service.

Section 9 Clause 3.

Contribution for Pension only for a Native of India.—A Native of India not being a Covenanted Civil Servant, may, by resigning all claims to allowances from the British Government during leave in respect to the period of his employment in Foreign Service, contribute for pension only. In such cases the contribution shall be one-eleventh of the gross salary which he receives from his foreign employers, and shall not exceed Rupees 100 a month; and the pension will be calculated upon the balance of salary which remains to him after the deduction.

E

DEWAN'S NOTIFICATION.

No 98.

The 31*st August* 1882.

4. As the officers concerned entered the Mysore Service unconditionally and on their own interests sharing in the prospects and promotions afforded by that service, His Highness' Government does not consider itself called upon to reimburse them for the contribution which they undertake to pay in order to secure their claims to past service under the British Government; but having regard to the fact that such contribution relieves the Mysore State of the proportion of the pension payable by it for the years on account of which the contribution is made, His Highness' Government will not object to make good in such cases one-half of the amount of the contribution, which the Comptroller is authorized to pay from the Revenues of the State levying from the officers concerned only the remaining half.

(General Serial No 31.)

1888 49

From

To The Comptroller
in Cypher

Dated 18 January 88.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 665

No. 449

Letter No. 102 of
12th " January 88. from
the Assistant to
the Resident in
Cyprus.

Has the honor to
forward copy of the
above letter together
with the Memorial
addressed to His
Excellency the Viceroy
& Governor General
in Council by Mr.
W. Bayliss, late Chief
Jailor, Central Jail,

⊗ to be obliged if returned.

Board of Education 1885

4-2-88

Treasury 3578

Bargalve and to request 50
that you will be good
enough to furnish this
office with full particu-
lars of Mr. Bayliss's
case and his opinion
in regard to the claim
therein set forth by him.

" Bon

Underlined

No 3516

51



A+A 5-86-10

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your No: 6165/449 dated 18 Instant forwarding, for report and an expression of my opinion, a memorial addressed to His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General in Council by Mr. W. Baylis, late Chief Jailor, Central Jail Bangalore.

* herewith returned

2. According to the Service Register of Mr. Baylis, he was Police Inspector in the Madras Presidency, and in 1874 the Judicial Commissioner and Ex: Officio Inspector General of Police, Mysore, applied to the Inspector General of Police Madras for his services. With the permission of the Head of his department he entered the Mysore Service. He also obtained an expression of opinion from the Accountant General Madras that as the Mysore revenues were part of the General revenues of India Vide Section 25 Chapter VI of the Civil Pension Code (2d Edition) his services in Madras would count towards pension. He was for some years employed in the Mysore Police and then appointed

Chief

Chief Jailor in the Central Jail Bangalore from which appointment he was retired on 30th June 1887.

3. Before his retirement, his papers were referred to the Accountant General Madras for opinion as to whether his service under the Government of Madras could be admitted as qualifying for a British pension. He was then called upon by the Assistant Resident in Mysore to state whether he was prepared to pay a contribution in order to secure a pension from the British Government. He paid under protest half the contribution into the Mysore Treasury with the request that the other half should be made good by the Mysore Government under the Dewan's Notification No. 98 dated 31st August 1882, and has submitted the memorial under reference to have the amount of contribution refunded to him and his pension paid by both the Governments according to the rule of proportion.

4. After this Province was transfered to the Maha Raja of Mysore, the question of pension of Uncovenanted Officers transferred to Native rule was raised. And the provision in the Civil Pension Code referred to above that the revenues of

Mysore

Mysore formed part of the General revenues was modified in October 1881. The Government of India in their letter No. 379 G.T. dated 14th December 1881 ruled that the contributions to be made towards the pension and leave allowances of Native Uncovenanted Officers who had been transferred to the Mysore State and retained by His Highness' Government after the cession should be calculated according to the rules laid down in Chapter III of the Civil Pension Code. In accordance therewith the Government of Mysore published Notification No. 98 dated 31st August 1882 that "the Native Uncovenanted "Officers whose services had been from "time to time obtained in Mysore from "the British service should, in the event "of their desiring to retain their claim "to their service under the British Go- "-vernment as regards their pensions, "pay to that Government from the "date of the Cession the contribution "on their salary prescribed by the rules" and the Comptroller was ordered to arrange for the collection of contribution from their salaries and payment to the British Government on the application of the Officers concerned. The Government further

further directed that half the amount of contribution should be paid out of the State Revenues in consideration of its being relieved from liability to pay their pensions.

5. Accordingly many officers came forward to take advantage of the above Notification, and the Accountant General Madras was asked to receive their contributions, but he pointed out that Chapter III referred to in the Government of India order did not include officers whose services were not formally transferred to Mysore. This Office then represented that though they were not competent to pay contribution for their pension from British Government, yet they appeared to be entitled to count their British service towards pension, the pension being borne by the British and Mysore Governments according to rule of proportion - Vide letter No. 1102 dated 3rd July 1885 to the Assistant Resident. The reasons are well explained in this letter and a copy of it is enclosed for ready reference. Perhaps upon this letter, the Officiating Resident in Mysore in his No. 963/1996 dated 10th April 1886 to the Dewan of Mysore proposed

in file No II

proposed to make a reference to the Govern-
-ment of India and wanted a list of all
officers who were likely to be affected by
the reference. And a list was prepared
in this Office of all officers who were
originally employed in British service
but obtained appointment in Mysore
without their services being formally
transferred by the British Government
and it was forwarded to you with this
Office No. 1547 dated 20 July 1886. No orders
have as yet been received on this subject.

6. Mr. Baylis contends that the orders
cited above do not apply to his case as
his services were not lent, and as he did
not retain a lien on his appointment
in the Madras Presidency, and he re-
-quests that his pension might be paid
by Madras and Mysore for services under
each according to the rule of proportion.

7 It must be admitted that while the
original order of the Government of India
referred only to Native Uncovenanted
Officers whose services had been transferred
to Mysore State, and who could have
been retransferred, but were retained
by the Dewan's Notification referred
to Native Uncovenanted Officers whose
services had been obtained in Mysore

from

from the British service, and the ruling of the Government of India was unconsciously given a more extended scope than the words would strictly bear, and that many officers who had, in order to better their prospects quitted service in British India and entered that of Mysore, applied for permission to pay contribution under the Dewan's Notification.

8. This mistake was pointed out by the Accountant General Madras and admitted by this Office. It was then argued by this Office that officials of the class referred to above though not entitled to contribute towards a British pension could rightly claim to count their service in British India towards pension, it being shared between Mysore and British India according to the Rule of Proportion.

9. I shall now proceed to state briefly my arguments in support of this view. The provisions of Chapter III referred to in the letter of Government of India relate to Officers transferred on and after the 14th October 1871 from qualifying service

A6

to Foreign service. Section 9 provides that from every officer transferred in the manner specified in section 8 who does not wholly resign the service of the British Government or who is not for recorded special and public reasons exempted from the operation of the rules in Chapter III a contribution is levied as follows:-

10. The Officials in question were not actually transferred in the words of the Government of India as expressed in the Ruling mentioned above. They with the exception of one or two, resigned their appointment in the British service unconditionally and did not in the words of the section refrain from wholly resigning the service of the British Government

But they did not resign service under British Govt — All

11. Again Rule 18 under section 9 provides that if an officer is under the rules in Chapter III transferred from Qualifying service to substantive Foreign service ~~if~~ must be arranged that he does not forfeit a lien upon his substantive office under the British Government. None of the Officials in question could be said to have retained a lien on their appointment.

They had no lien on a provisional appointment, but of their services in Nigeria

12. At the time when these Officials quitted British service and took up appointments in Mysore in order to better their prospects, Mysore was not a foreign or Native State. It was a separate Province under British administration, and unless their past service was forfeited under the provisions of the Pension Code, they were then entitled to count the same for pension, the pension being apportioned between the different administrations according to the rule of proportion. This right vested in them prior to the Rendition of Mysore to Native Rule, and I venture to assert that it cannot be taken away merely by the circumstance that the nature of the administration of Mysore was changed subsequently.

13. It may also be urged that the Government of India not only did not deny this right, but have in a recent case pronounced in its favor. But before referring to this case, it would be better to discuss another instance which may be considered as supporting the contrary. In letter No. 420/89 dated 18th May 1885 from the Assistant Resident to the Dewan of Mysore

in

it is stated that the circumstances of Mr. Rungachariar's case were submitted for the orders of the Government of India, and that it had been ruled that as he had voluntarily resigned his appointment under the Government of Madras, he could not properly speaking, come under Class (b) which refers to Uncovenanted Officers who having a Status in British Service were transferred for employment in Mysore.

"As however Mr. Rungachariar has "been led to expect that he will count "this service for pension from the British "Government in consequence of per- "mission having been given to him "to contribute for pension after the "30th September 1882, the Government "of India is willing to accept the "liability for pension for the service "prior to 27th January 1866 as well as "for the service for which contribution "has been paid since the 30th September "1882 the liability for the remaining "portion devolving upon the Durbar."

14. This was construed as an ex- -pression of the opinion of the Govern- -ment of India that when an Officer

had

had resigned his appointment in British India and entered the Mysore service prior to the Rendition and had continued in the same service since, he had forfeited his claim for pension on British Government for his original service under the British Government. This principle was applied by the Government of Mysore in a case which forms the converse of this. In that case an Officer Mr. Marchant originally employed in Mysore had resigned his appointment and entered the service of Hyderabad and the Berars, and when share of his pension was claimed from Mysore on account of his service therein, it was refused on the ruling of the Government of India in the above case, and this was approved of by the Resident. The case then went up before the Government of India and they are reported to have remarked that the principle proposed to be followed in the case of Mr. Marchant was exactly the same as was applied in the case of Mr. Rangachariar and that Mr. Rangachariar resigned the service of the Government of Madras to join the service of the Mysore

Govt

Government and it has been decided that his pension should be calculated upon the combined services" vide para 3 of letter No. 1324/5155 dated 12th May 1886 from the Assistant Resident to the Dewan of Mysore. It will thus be clear that in accepting liability for Mr. Rungacharia's pension for service prior to 27 January 1866, the Government of India was not solely actuated by fact that Mr. Rungacharia was allowed to contribute after the Condition for a British pension.

15. In para 4 of the letter No. 1324/5155 quoted above, it is further explained that the Government of India passed the decision "in view of the consideration that when "Mysore was under British administration "it was natural that officers in Government service proper should have felt "no hesitation in resigning their appointments to join Mysore service and vice "versa under circumstances in which "similar resignation of one Government appointment to join another "would not have affected their pensionary claims. If under such "circumstances the previous service, "whether in Mysore or under the British

"British Government should be rejected, the "result would, the Government of India con- "siders, be unmerited hardship to the officers "concerned".

16. The case of Mr. Baylis is similar to those of Mr. Marchant and Mr. Rungacharier in that his services were not formally trans- -ferred by the Madras Government (vide Proviso 2 Section 8 Civil Pension Code) and he had paid no contribution since the Rendition. The circumstances that he had obtained the permission of his official superior is immaterial as it does not amount to a formal transfer of his services by the Madras Government and as he was not permitted to have a lien on his appointment in Madras. The circumstance that Mr. Rungacharier was paying contribution does not also affect the question at issue as it has been ruled that the payment was a mistake and as that was not the cause of the British Govern- -ment agreeing to pay their share of his pension for his service under the Madras Government.

17. For these reasons therefore, I think that Mr. Baylis and other officers who while employed in Madras entered Mysore service whether with or without the per- -mission of the immediate heads of their

Departments

Departments deserve to be exempted from payment of contribution and to be considered entitled to have their pension calculated on their combined services, the respective Governments sharing their pensions according to the rule of proportion.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

Hemming
Comptroller.

16

7971

383

From The Comptroller.

To The Secretary
No. 3516 to the Deputy
Dated 6th July/88 Mysore

With reference to the
enclosed copy of a letter
No. I. A. S. 212C of the 26 June
from the Accountant G.
Madras submits the
expression of his opinion on
the claim of Mr. W. Boyle
for pension ——

B

Put up with Then the correspdce
from Govt of India, with list of
Officers under classes (b, who have
a status in B India from transf
Bengal &c. Govt. —

9/7/88

Office of the Dewan of Mysore
Dated Seypore, 30th September 1888
Desp. 3d Oct.

No. 14

12

Ans. 15-86-11

To

The Resident in Mysore.

Sir,

In returning herewith Mr. Baylis' memorial to H. E. the Viceroy Rec'd. with Your office No. 102/1998 of 12th January 1888, I have the honor to state that in 1874 Mr. Baylis joined the Mysore Service in the Police Dept. He was previously Employed in the Madras Police as Inspector, and according to his Service Regs., upon application made by the Inspr. Genl of Police Mysore to the Inspector Genl of Police Madras, Mr. Baylis was permitted by the latter to leave the Madras

Police and to enter the
Mysore Police ~~The~~
~~[redacted]~~ in 1874.
This was before the
Restitution and at a
time when ~~[redacted]~~ for
purposes of the Pension
Code "Mysore Revenues"
were regarded as "General
Revenues" - The transfer
was in the nature of
the appointment of an
officer from ~~one~~ one local
Govt: to another local
Govt, both in British
India & not in the
nature of a loan to a
foreign Service.

2. Mr. Bayly's claim
is that his pension
shd. be paid by both
the Mysore & British
Govts according to the
Rule of proportion,
and the question thus
submitted for decision

3. The last orders on the subject were those communicated to me in your letter No. 2235/372 of 9 Feby 1905

is whether the Rule of proportion, or the Rule under which a ~~British~~ Contribution is levied at full British pension granted, should apply to the Case -

~~[illegible] has been that the Rule of Contribution is applicable,~~ and Mr Bayliss and General Rhys Similarly situated could not be said to have now the definite particular that States in the British Service ~~[illegible]~~ to Contribute to British Pension, and ~~[illegible]~~ to decide that when the Troops of ~~[illegible]~~ they entered the ~~[illegible]~~ of Mysore, their ~~[illegible]~~ which they had, when they left it to join the Mysore Service many years ago

But Service under the British admn of Mysore

has been
~~was~~ recognized as British
Service, and thus a
status in the Mysore Service
at the time of the Rendition
has been
~~was~~ regarded as a
status in the British Service,
in the Case of those Offrs
whose services were partly
in British India and partly
in Mysore before the Rendition, and ~~is~~ the Mysore State
has been relieved of all liabilities
for their pensions for Service
up to 30 Sept 1882, their
Service after that date alone being
regarded as Foreign Service
(Vide Corr^ce ~~with~~ Ending with
Yr Offrs letter No. 2235/372 dd
9 Feb 1885)

This Principle is the
~~Was~~ principle ~~which~~ upon which the orders of
the Govt of India of 14th
Dece 1881 were based —

4. If Officers Situated as Mr Bagles was,
~~on the Rendition~~
Elected to retire on the
Rendition of the Province or he for 30 Sept 1882
they would have secured
a full British pension
~~to which they were entitled~~ if
qualified therefor by Service —
If after Rendition they
~~were~~ Continued in
the foreign Service of
Mysore, they Cannot
justly Complain of having

4

to keep alive their claims
for a British Pension
by paying the prescribed
Contributions.
5 The Number have shown
great consideration to
Applns of this class by their
Instr No 98 of 31
August 1882.

~~[illegible]~~

6 I forward herewith
for further instr regarding
Mr Bright's case an
Extract of paras 2 to
5 of letter from the
Mysore Comptroller
No 3516 of 6th Feb
1888., and upon
the general question
at issue I beg to
refer you to Mr
Gladstone's letter to
Mr ~~[illegible]~~ Campbell No
255 of 1 May 1887

No 963/1996 of 10 April 1886
and my Minute refg thereto

...nd to the list forwarded
...th the letter, in which
Mr Baylis's case is the
...first Entry. ~~I beg also~~
~~to refer to the Correspondence~~
~~Ending with your letter~~
~~Office letter Dated 2nd 2235~~
~~3rd~~
~~& 4th Feb 1888~~

X

Thanks.
7.30/4/88

...C. no. 1358½

...ply to Comptroller in reply
...th refer this Office letter
...No 3516 & 6th February 1888.

5588
28/10/88
558-

No. 3584
1996.

71

13.

Lie Papers are with hon Rosanna Rao. 284

29-10-88

FROM

THE ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

A+AS-86-12

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated, Bangalore, 25th October 1888.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 14 dated 30th September 1888, I am directed to state that Mr. Baylis' Memorial to the Viceroy said to have been therewith returned has not been received and to request that it may be forwarded as early as possible.

2. I am also to inquire whether in the event of pecuniary Contribution being accepted from Mr. Baylis the Durbar are prepared to pay half of it under the terms of their Notification No. 98 dated 31st August 1882.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servt.

Assistant to the Resident.

(General Serial No. 31)

1888.9

From

To The Asstt to the Resident

A+A5-86-13

Dated 9th
Despatched 12th } Nov/88
Received

Enclosures No.

~~General No.~~
Camp No. 1630

Sir

In reply to your letter d/ 25th ultimo, No 3584/1996, I am directed to forward herewith Mr. Bayliss memorial to H.E. the Viceroy, received with your office letter No. 10/2/1996 dated 12th January last, and to state that in the event of pensionary contribution being accepted from Mr Bayliss, the Durbar will be prepared to pay half of it under the terms of Notfn No 98 d/ 31st Augt/82

I have &c.

R.

Chief Secy

74

Office of the Resident in Mysore
Mysore 21st March 89.

To.

The Resident in Mysore

No 33.

15

L+A 5-86: 14

Sir

not in the file.

In reply to the Enquiry made in the Concluding portion of para 2 of your Office letter No 4084/1996 of 4th. Decr. 1888 I have the honor to state that the Durbar will accept a portion of liability to pay Mr. Bayley's pension proportionate to his Service here in Mysore from 1874. ~~This Wd. be Simpler~~ ~~than paying~~ a Contribution for Service since 1st Oct 1882 & a proportionate Share of pension for Service before that date. If the decision of the British Govt. be that ~~pensions~~ the orders of the Secy of State Contained in Despatches Nos. 104 P. and 69 P. of 9th Nov. 1882 & 9th Augt 1883 respy are not applicable to cases like the one in question.

Then it Wd. be Simpler for the Durbar to pay ~~them~~ their pensionary charges according to the Rule of proportion for the Entire period of Service in Mysore, than to pay

I have &c
sd/-
21.3.89.

9
B. 85-

(General Serial No. 30.)

75

18 89.

49

16

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Comptroller.

A+A 5-86-15

Dated 11 June 1889

Despatched 12

Received

Enclosures No.

~~General No.~~

C No. 162

Request that a copy of the Service Register of Mr. Baylis, late Chief Jailor, Bangalore Central Jail, may be forwarded for reference

B.O

Chf Secy

required to make
by Dewan
to their
Mr.

2784
16/7/89
135.
76.

No. 1353

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
13 JUL 89
MYSORE

17

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 11th July 1889.

15—7—89

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, ESQ.,

Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore.

SIR,

In compliance with your docket No 162 of 11th Ultimo, I have the honor to enclose copy of the service register of Mr. Baylis late Chief Jailor Bangalore Central Jail - just received from the Superintendent, Central Jail.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

M. Eagles
Jr Comptroller

Extract from the Service Registers of Mr. W. Baylis late Chief Jailer Bangalore Central Jail from the Original received with Docket No $\frac{417}{2}$ dated 6th July 1889 from the Superintendent Central Jail.

Name of appointment	Nature of appointment	Pay Rs	a	p	Horse allowance Rs	Date of beginning	Date of ending	
3rd Class Inspector of Police	Permanent	100	"	"	15	4-11-59	30-4-60	
2nd — do — do —	— do —	150	"	"	15	1-5-60	30-4-62	
1st — do — do —	— do —	300	"	"	15	1-5-62	23-11-74	
Chief Inspector of Police Bangalore Cantonment and Town	— do —	230	"	"	20	24-11-74	3-2-78	
Officiating Assistant Commissioner (Police) Chittledroog District and Transferred to Kadur District from 5th May 1878	Temporary	250	"	"	"	4-2-78	10-7-81	
Chief Jailer Central Jail	Permanent	150	"	"		11-7-81	30-6-87	From 1st March 1881 received a consolidated allowance in lieu of Manufacture Commission of Rs 100 per mensem under Chief Commissioner's proceedings No $\frac{8215}{\text{G } 2124}$ dated 1st March 1881.

Sd/ Eagles
Jr Comptroller.

B-15-

(General Serial No. 30.)

C

1890. 75

From

16

A+AS-86-17

To The Comptroller.

Dated 24 July 1890

Despatched

Received

We file the returned papers in issue 20/7/90

Enclosures No.

General No. 3860

No. 289

His Letter No. 1353 of the 13th July 1889, forwarding a copy of the Service Register of Mr Baylis, late Chief Jailor, Central Jail, Bangalore.

With reference to the above, requests ~~to be informed~~ that he will be so good as to ~~furnish~~ ~~supply the Office~~ ~~with~~ information on the following points:—

(1) ~~What is~~ Proportionate share of Mr Baylis' pension payable by Mysore for his Mysore Service period 1st Oct 1882, in the Event of the British

Govt accepting a
contribution for the
period subsequent to
that date.

(2). The amount of contribution
payable since 1st Oct 1882.

(3) Pensionary charges according
to the Rule of Proportion
for the entire period
of Mr Baylis' service
in Mysore

(4). ~~If no contribution~~
The amount of pension
Mr Baylis ~~would~~ is entitled
to, for his service in
Mysore plus the period
of British service which
the Durbar have sanctioned
for the purpose of calculating
pensions, under the terms
of para 5 of the Dewans
Notfn No 98 of 31st Augt 1882 -

B.O.

20/7/83

40

No. 1757

3976 / 6/18/90 / 216

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 29½/31 July 1890.

19

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq.,

Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of
Mysore

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. 3860/289 of the 24th Instant, I have the honor to furnish the information called for on the following points.

1) Proportionate share of Mr. Baylis' Pension payable by Mysore for his Mysore Service prior to 1st October 1882, in the event of the British Government accepting a contribution for the period subsequent to that date. — Rupees 39-14-7.

2) The amount of Contribution payable since 1st October 1882 — Rupees 1425-0-0 exclusive of Interest.

3) Pensionary charges according to the Rule of Proportion for the entire period of Mr. Baylis' service in Mysore — Rupees 64-13-10

4) The amount of pension

Mr

Mr. Baylis is entitled to for his service in Mysore plus the period of British Service, which the Durbar have sanctioned for the purpose of calculating pensions under the terms of para 6 of the Dewan's Notification No. 98 dated 31st August 1882

Rupees 41-10-8.

A memo is enclosed shewing the calculation as to how the proportionate shares of pension have been arrived at.

I may also state that under para 4 of Notification No. 92 of 31st August 1882 Rupees 712-8-a/- being half of the Contribution was received from Mr. Baylis and credited to deposits pending the disposal of his case.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

A Langmaid
Comptroller.

19

Memo showing Service of Mr Bayliss late Chief Jailor Central Jail.

Madras Service

4 14/30 November 1859 to 30 14/30 April 1860	Years 0	Months 5	Days 24 @100	590-0-0
1 1/30 May 1860 to 30 14/30 April 1862	2	0	0 @150	3600-0-0
1 1/30 May 1862 to 28 20/30 November 1874	12	6	23 @200	36153-5-4
Total	15	0	20	34343-5-4

Mysore Service

24 14/30 November 1874 to 3 20/30 February 1878	3	2	10 @230	8818-4-11
4 14/30 February 1878 to 30 14/30 September 1882	4	7	25 @250	13973-3-5
Total	7	10	5	22791-8-4

Service in Mysore for which Contribution is payable

1 1/30 October 1882 to 30 14/30 June 1887	4	9	0 @250	14250-0-0
Grand Total	27	7	25.	71384-13-8

Pension

Rupees 125/- being half of Rupees 250/- the average emoluments drawn during the last 5 years of service

(1) 71385 : 22792 :: 125 = 39-14-7. Proportionate share payable by Mysore for service prior to 1 1/30 October 1882,

(2) 71385 : 37042 :: 125 = 64-13-10 Proportionate share for entire Mysore service

(4) Mysore Service 12 years plus 3 years of British service equals 15 years and under the old Rules

is

is entitled to a 1/3 rd pension of Rupees $\frac{125}{3}$ = £41-10-8

Contribution

(2). Contribution of 1/10 1/2 of Salary, viz, Rupees 250 = Rupees 25 per mensem amount payable from 1st October 1882 to 30th June 1887 = Rupees 1425-0-0 exclusive of Interest.

84

A 5080/236 9 12-8-90/14

20.

To.

The Asstt to the Resident
in Mysore.

L & A 5-66-19

Sir,

In reply to the enquiry made in the concluding portion of para 2 of your office letter No 4084/1996 dy the 4th December 1888, I am desired to state that the Durbar will accept liability to pay a portion of Mr. Baylis' pension proportionate to his service in Mysore from 24th November 1874 to 30th Septr 1882, in addition to paying ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ the present contribution for his service ~~subsequent to~~ from 1st October 1882 up to the date of his retirement. I have &c.

14/9/90

Chief Secy.

1/
B.P.S. 7

1890

From the Asst to the Resident in Mysore 199.6 328/1/91 No 1

The Asst to the Resident in Mysore.

Dy 12
Dt. 14 August 1890

No 3080/236

Intimating that the Durbar will accept liability to pay a portion of Mr. Bayley's pension proportionate to his services in Mysore, in addition to paying the prescribed contribution for his service from 1st Oct. 1882

(General Serial No. 30).

1890.

From

21

To The Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 6 December 1890

Despatched 8

Received

Enclosures No. Paper

General No. 9951

No. 683

Dis letter No 3962 of the 18 February 1890.

With reference to the above, has the honor to forward for information and guidance copy of letter No 3947 dated the 28 November 1890 together with its enclosure from the Accountant General regarding the procedure to be followed in the disposal of pension applications of officers whose service has been partly in British India & partly in Mysore

180

R. Ellis

Asst

P. 71

1885-96-70

x not in the file.

9876/28/1/91 715.

No. 297/199.6

From

The Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

A+A 5-86 Vi 22

The Dewan of Mysore

Dated Bangalore, 28th January 1891.

Sir,

With reference to Correspondence ending with your letter No. 5080/236 dated the 12th August 1890, regarding Mr. Baylis's claims to a pension from the British Government, I am directed to say that his Memorial to the Viceroy, received with your letter marginally noted, has been submitted for the orders of the Government of India, who have asked to be furnished with full particulars with respect to the claims of the other employés in Mysore whose cases will be affected by a decision on Mr. Baylis's case.

* No. 1630 dated the 9th November 1890.

A

2. A statement furnishing such particulars was received with your letter No. 255 dated Camp 1st May 1887, but from subsequent correspondence from your office about Trimal Rao and Kalimulla Khan, it would seem that the statement was, not an exhaustive one, the names of the above individuals having been omitted in it.

No. 4781 dated 11th September 1889.
338
No. 9703 dated 27th November 1890.
464

3. There may, perhaps, be others similarly situated, I am to ask therefore that special care may be taken to make the statement now asked for as complete as possible in every respect.

4. I am also to inquire, for the information of the Government of India, whether a moiety of the Contribution payable by Mr. Baylis has actually been paid by him, and if so, to ask for a Memo: shewing the amount of Contribution leviable, and the rate at which, and the period for which it has been calculated.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

L. S. Newmarch
Assistant to the Resident

23 Fo,

No. 12841/831 of 17-2-91

Encl 2

The Comptroller
to the Govt of
Imphal.

dtd 5-86-27
Sir,

I have the honor
to forward copy of
letter No. 297/199.6 of 28th
January 1891, from the
Assistant to the
Resident in Imphal;
and to request you
will be good enough
to furnish this office,
at an early date, with
a complete statement
in the annexed form
of officers who
have rendered service
under the British Govt
before they took up the
Imphal service prior to
Rendition whether by transfer

or

or resignation. 69

2. The statement should include not only the officers now in Service but also those that have already been retired and in whose cases the Accomt Officers of the British Govt have refused to bear the proportionate share of pension debitable to the British Govt on account of British Service without the special sanction of the Govt of India in each individual case.

3. It having been particularly requested in the Agent Residents letter that the statement should be exhaustive and as complete as possible in every respect the Head of each Department may be requested to furnish the required information after fully ascertaining from each Officer

1

~~and prior to receipt~~. Great
care should be taken
to make the statement
complete, as the omission
of any officer in the list
~~the service rendered by~~
~~him to the British Govt~~
~~will be rejected~~ to the
~~detriment~~ of his retirement.

4. The information
called for in para 4 of
the Asst Resident's letter
in regard to the contri-
bution payable by Mr.
Bayliss, late Chief Jailor,
Central Gaol, Banyatwa
may also be furnished
~~by~~ to Govt in a
~~embodied~~ separate memo.

5. I am to request
also that a ~~complete~~
statement showing all
the officers, whose services
were transferred ~~or~~ lent
by the British Govt and
who pay contribution
to the British Govt in
their pension may also
be submitted for the
information of the

Form B hereto

1891

Name &c.

Class &c.

The Comptroller

Dated 14-2-1891

No 12841/831

Forwarding Copy of Yatt Resident's letter No 297/1990 dated 28th January 1891 and requesting a statement of Officers who have rendered services under the British Government before they took up the Mysore Service and prior to the Rendition whether by transfer or resignation &c

No. LL 8418 17-2-91
831 18

No.	Name	Designation of the Office & the Dept. in which he was or is now employed	Rate of Pay	Period of Service rendered under the British Govt. — Superior or Inferior	Period of Service rendered under the British Govt. — From what date to what date	Period of Service rendered under the British Govt. — Amount — Rs	As	Ps	Date of Commencement of Imperial Service	Brief note giving cause of termination of British Service whether by resignation or transfer	Remarks

84
7/4/9
6-
1885-86-23
2?

No. 609

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
4 APR 91
MYSORE

24 92

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,
Bangalore, 2 April 1891.

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq.,
Comptroller of Mysore.

35

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 1285/41/831 of 17th February 1891 and its reminder No. 1431/912 of 27th March last, I have the honour to enclose a Statement in the form annexed to your letter, of the Officers who had served under the British Government before entering prior to the rendition the Mysore Service either by transfer or after resigning their appointment under British Government.

Replies have not as yet been received to my Circular letter No. 175 of 20th February 1891, calling for the necessary information from the undermentioned Officers.

Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.
Secretary, Public Works Department.
Deputy Commissioners of Districts (excepting Mysore, Bangalore, Hassan and Kadur).
Examiner Public Works Accounts.
Excise Commissioner and
Director of Archæological Researches.
Inspector General of Police.

As

As the original pension applications of certain Officers which were applied for on the 18th March have not yet been received from your Office, I have not been able to include in the Statements particulars of Officers who have already retired from Service. On receipt of the said pension applications and replies from the Officers referred to in the preceding para, a Supplemental Statement will be submitted.

A separate Memo regarding Mr Bayliss is enclosed as desired in para 4 of your letter.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

Adamsamy

Comptroller

of Mysore

Original sent to Asst. Resident

94

24/23

Memo.

Mr. Baylis had served in the Madras Police for 15 yrs: — m — d — " — 20, viz: from 4th November 1859 to 23rd November 1874. He joined the Mysore Service on 24th November 1874 by transfer and continued therein till 30th June 1887.

In consequence of some correspondence between him and the Resident's Office, he paid into the Huzur Treasury, a moiety of the contribution for pension from 1st October 1882 to 30th June 1887, amounting to Rs. 712 — 8 — " under para 4 of Notification No. 92 of 31st August 1882; the amount has been credited to Deposits pending disposal of his case.

The amount of contribution leviable is 1/10th of his net salary viz: Rs: 250 under Rule 3 of Section 9 (b) of the Civil Pension 6th Edition. The contribution was levied from 1st October 1882 to 30th June 1887.

"Copy."

(Sd) A. Rungaswamiengar
Comptroller.

Sig

Copy

95

To 25 24

The Comptroller
Mysore Govt

No. 449/37 of 11-4-37
1 Encl

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge your letter No 109 of the 2nd instant, & to request that you will issue urgent reminds. to the Officers from whom replies are due to your Circular regarding the names of the Suppliers in Mysore whose cases may be similar to that of Mr Baylis, and submit a complete and exhaustive list

those already Subd by you,

of all such names including early
to this Office for trans-
mission to the Resident.

2. I am to observe
that in the list sent
by you, no information
is given as to the appoint
-ments in which the
officials named therein
held ~~while in British~~
~~employ, the~~ under
British Govt ~~when at the~~
~~time they entered the~~
~~Mysore Service~~, the Office
or departments in
which they were serving
and the pay they were
drawing at the time
they entered the Mysore
Service. This Shd

be furnished in the colum
of "remarks". The necessa
dates as regards C. Gopala
Row (No 8) & other detail
regarding him & Sheik
Madar (No 9) should
also be furn

3. I am also to request
that the name of Mr. K
Subbarayer referred to
your letter No 4 g 10 dat
the 3rd March 1891, may
be included in
the list to be submitted
by you -

4. The list enclosed with
your letter under reply
is returned.

I have &c

1891

To. The Comptroller to the
Govt. of Mysore.

Dy 11 April 91

No. 449/37

Req. To state he will issue
urgent reminders to the Officers
from whom replies are due,
regarding the names of the
Europeans in Mysore, whose
cases may be similar to that
of Mr. Bayliss & that a complete
& exhaustive list of all such
names including those shown
as to be found to this Office
at an early date for submn
to the Resdt

26
~~35~~

To

The Asst Resident
in Mysore

A & A Sir, 66-25

I am directed to
acknowledge the receipt
of your letter No 297
1896
of the 28th January 1891,
& of the subsequent reminder
dated the 24th March last,
regarding ~~the~~ Mr Bayly's
claim to pension from
British Govt, and to forward
herewith a memo ~~enclosure~~
~~with reference to paragraph~~
received from the Comptroller
showing the amount
of Contributions
actually paid by
Mr Bayly & also the
rate at which &
the period for which
it has been paid
showing the amount
of the pension that
a moiety of the contribution
payable by Mr Bayly

from 1st October 1882 to 30th June 1887, has actually been paid by him, as shown in the Memo attached hereto ~~&~~ been credited to "Deposits" pending disposal of his case —

2. As regards the Statement called for in paragraph 3 of ~~the~~ your letter with respect to the claims of all the employés in Mysore whose cases maybe affected by a decision on Mr Baylis' case, I am desired to state ~~for your information~~ that the Comptroller who was addressed on the subject ~~has~~ late sent a list of ~~most~~ of the names required, with the explanation that the list

was not complete, because
he had not received
replies from some of the
District Officers & Heads
of Departments; and
he promised that as soon
as the replies were received
he would send a supple-
mental list of the re-
maining names. The list
he sent has however been
returned to him with a
request that he will send
urgent reminders to the
officers from whom replies
are due and submit
an exhaustive list such
as has been called for,
~~with the least possible delay~~
~~to this office for~~
~~transmission to you~~

Early letter please

So that mere same may

be transmitted to you

may be as little

much delay as possible

in transmitting the same to you.

I have &c

A1

Sec[t]

1891

To

The Assistant to the Resident

in Mysore

April/91

457

16.

Acknowledging recpt of his letter & subsequent reminders regarding Mr Bayliss' claim to pension from the British Govt, and forg a Memo recd from the Compt. showing the amt of contribution actually paid by Mr. Bayliss also the rate at which & the period for which it has been paid; also intimating that the statt called for with respect to the claims of all the employés in Mysore, whose cases may be affected by a decision on Mr. Bayliss' case will be ford as recpt from the Resident to whom an incomplete statt has been sent with instructions to submit a complete & exhaustive statt at an early date.

No. 218 / 1896

10208 / 23/1/92 / 701.

From

The First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 23rd January 1892.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 7605/297 dated the 5th October 1891, regarding the pensionary claims on the British Government of Mr. W. Baylis, late Chief Jailor in the Central Jail at Bangalore.

2. I am to say that, on a full consideration of the circumstances of the case, the Government of India authorise the acceptance of the pensionary contribution due upon Mr. Baylis' pay from the 1st October 1892 to the 30th June 1897, the date of his retirement, and sanction the grant to him of the pension admissible to him under the rules for the entire period of his service under the Government of India and the Durbar, on condition that the State pays

103

As proportion of the pension for service in Mysore from the 24th November 1874 to the 30th September 1002.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chas. W. Rowcroft Capt

1st Asstt to the Resident.

Ry.

Rep. Mark

23/1/92

Sir Submitted

25-1-92

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
10 FEB. 92
MYSORE.

28 1892

No. 5071

11116
11/2/92
496.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 10th February 1892

A445-66-27

From

~~A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq.,~~

The Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

SIR,

I have the honor, in forwarding copy of letter No: I.A.E. 23c dated 8th February 1892 from the Accountant-General Madras, requesting the recovery of the pensionary contribution due on behalf of Mr. W. Baylis late Chief jailor, Central jail Bangalore, from 1st October 1882 to 30th June 1887, to state that the amount of contribution payable under Rule 3 of Section 9(b) of the Civil Pension Code 6th Edition for the period in question would amount to Rs: 1425/- being at the rate of 1/10 of Rs: 250/- the pay drawn by Mr. Baylis during that period

which, under para 4 of the Notification
92 dated 31st August 1882, Rs: 712/8/-
ing half of the Contribution, was re-
vered from Mr. Baylis and credited to
vernment on 31st March 1891 as un-
claimed Deposits of more than 3 years
anding under Rule XI of Chapter 14
the Civil Account Code Volume I.

I have to request that sanction may
accorded to the payment of the Contri-
tion by Government; in which case
proportionate share of Mr. Baylis
sion of Rs: 125/- payable by the
psore State will be Rs: 39/14/7 as
er memo of calculation enclosed in
letter No: 1757 dated 29th July 1890

I have the honor to be

Sir,

your most obedient Servant

H Eagle

for Comptroller

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
10FEB. 92
M. G. R. E.

106

Fort St. George
Accountant General's Office
8th February 1892

Pension Department.

No. I.A.E. / 23C

From
A. W. Rawlins Esqr.
Accountant General of the
Government of Madras.

To
The Comptroller of Impore

Sir;

With reference to the Resident's Endorsement No. 219/1990 dated 28th January 1892, forwarding to me, a copy of Government of India letter No. 41 G. dated 9th January 1892, authorising the acceptance of pensionary Contribution due on behalf of Mr. W. Baylis, late chief Jailer Central Jail Bangalore from 1st October 1882 to 30th June 1887, the date of his retirement, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to recover the whole amount of Contribution due on his behalf and furnish me with

with the particulars of the amounts

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Sd) Edw. Pinto
Chief Superintendent

Copy.

H. Eagle
Asst Comptroller

Read:— Letter No. 218 of 1896 dd 3rd January 1892, from the Resident in Mysore, stating that the Govt. of India authorize the acceptance of the pensionary contribution due upon Mr. Bayliss' leave from 1st October 1882 to 30th June 1887 and sanction the grant to him of the pension admissible to him under the rules, on the condition that the State pays its proportion of the pension in advance in Mysore from 24th November 1874 to 30th September 1882

AAS-86-26

Read also:— Letter No. 507 dd 10th February 1892, from the Comptroller in Mysore stating that the amount of contribution payable to the Madras Govt. under the rules is Rs. 1425, and that half of it, viz. Rs. 712/8/- being half of the contribution was already recovered from Mr. Bayliss and credited to Govt., and requesting sanction to the payment of the other half

~~of the Contribution payable by the Company~~ 104

Order thereon No 1395 3-4 dt 5-3-92 O
1567

A copy of the 1st Assistant Resident's letter read above will be forwarded to the Inspector General of Prisons and Comptroller in Company for information.

2. The payment of Contribution to the Madras Government on Mr. Banjo's pay from 1st October 1882 to 30th June 1887 which amounts to Rs. 1625, ~~half of which viz Rs. 712½~~ payable by Mr. Banjo has already been recovered from him & credited to the Treasury; ~~is sanctioned and the Comptroller is requested to pay the full amount viz: Rs. 1625 to the Resident's Treasury and after this being done so~~ is hereby sanctioned. It is noted that a moiety of this amount viz,

2/ The Comptroller is requested to report when the payt. has been made as above directed.

Mdu 5/3/92.

Endorsed

To, The Inspector General of Prisons in Company
The Comptroller in Company.

COMP... 11 MAR 91 MYSORE.

No. 515

12339
14/3/92
552

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 10th March 1892.

From

~~A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq.,~~
The Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

SIR,

With reference to Proceedings &c
1393-4/1517 dated 5th instant, I have the honor
to state that the sum of Rs: 1425/- has
this day been paid into the Resident's
Treasury Bangalore, on account of the
contribution due to the Madras Govern-
-ment on behalf of Mr. W. Baylis late
Chief Jailor Central Jail from 1st Octo-
-ber 1882 to 30th June 1887.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

For Comptroller

1250
3/8/92
9503-

COMPTROLLER'S 2-AUG.92 MYSORE

111

No. 814

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 2/3 August 1892.

From

~~A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq.,~~ 1 A 5-6-80 31

The Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit the application for pension on behalf of Mr. W. Baylis late Chief Jailor Central Jail Bangalore — (received from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore with his docket N° 561 dated 26 ultimo) with the services of the applicant — from 24 November 1874 to 30th June 1887 duly verified from the records of this office as certified on the third page of the application.

According to para 3 of letter N° 2 A E. 228 dated 23rd September 1890 from the Account. ant General Madras, received with your N° 2951/683 of the 6th December 190, this pension application should be reported upon by the Accountant General Madras to the Madras government and I request that it may be

be forwarded to that officer through the Resident in Mysore.

A memo of calculation shewing the apportionment of the pension between Mysore and India is enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obed[t] servant.

H[y] Eagles

For Comptroller.

Application for Pension ~~or Gratuity~~. Pension

Date of application.	19th July 1892.
Name of applicant.	Mr. W. Bayliss.
Last appointment.	Chief Jailor, Central Jail, Bangalore.
Class of pension or gratuity.	Superannuation Pension
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	Nil
Date of commencement.	1st July 1887.
Date of sanction.	

Office Copy.

3909

Mysore Govt. Press, Bangalore—14-11-81.—1000.

(Fin. Serial No. 104.)

113

Application for Pension or Gratuity.

1. Name of Applicant. William Baylis
2. Father's Name. Thomas Baylis
3. Sect or Caste. Eurasian - protestant
4. Residence. Madras
5. Present or last employment including name of Establishment. Chief jailor, Central jail Bangalore
6. Date of beginning of service. 4th November 1859.
7. Date of end of service. 30th June 1887.

	Yrs.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks—			
of which superior	27	7	27
inferior	"	"	"
Non-qualifying and breaks	"	"	"

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application * Superannuation pension having completed 55 years of age. applicant retires at his own option as per remarks under Column 5 overleaf (Illegible)
10. (Average) emoluments or pay. Rs 250/-
11. Proposed pension. Rs 125/-
12. Proposed gratuity. Nil
13. Date from which to commence. 1st July 1887.
14. Place of payment. Saidapet Treasury" Chenglepet District.
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 24th December 1830.
16. Height. 5 ft. 9½ inches.
17. Marks. A slight scar on right wrist.
18. Date. 19th July 1892.

(Signed) D. [illegible]
Ag. Superintendent, Central
Signature of Head of Office.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

History of the Service (shewing breaks) of Mr. W. Baylis Late Chief Jailer, Central Jail, Bangalore.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Permanent / ~~Acting~~ Allowance.	Date of Beginning.	Date of End.	Period reckoned as Service.	Period not reckoned as Service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks Comptroller.
		Rs				yrs. mos. days	yrs. mos. days			
3rd Class Inspector	of Police perm.	100	15----	4th November 1859	30th April/60	0-5-27	Nil			
2nd ---- Do ----	Do ----	150	15----	1st May 1860	30th April/62	2-0-0	Nil			
1st ---- Do ----	Do ----	200	15----	1st May/62	23rd Nov:/74	12-6-23	Nil			
Bangalore Town Cantonment, Chief Inspector of Police		230	20----	24th November/74	3rd Feb:/78	3-2-10	Nil			
Officiating Assistant Commissioner of police, Chitaldroog District and transferred to Kadur District Temporary		250	0----	4th Feb:/78	10th July/79	1-5-5	Nil	Nil	Verified from the Records of the Bangalore Central Jail.	
Chief Jailer, Central Jail, Bangalore Permanent		150	*100-0-	11th July/79	30th June/87	7-11-21	Nil			
					Total period	27-7-27				

* From 1st March 1881, received a Consolidated allowance in lieu of manufacture Commission of Rs 100/- per mensem, under Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 8215 / C:2124 dated 1st March 1881

Certified that the services of the applicant from 24th November/74 to 30th June/87, have been verified from the records of this office.

(Signed) H. Eagles
for Comptroller in Mysore.

(Signed) B. K. Chowtsi
Ag. Superintendent,
Central Jail
Bangalore.

114

Remarks by Head of Office.

racter and past conduct of Applicant. — said to be good.

on of any suspension or degradation. — Nil

g any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant, See Ch: XX. — Nil

ion under Section ~~38~~ 104 Rule 1, so far as the f the Office can give it. — Superannuation pension, completed 55 years of age, and retires of applicant's own accord.

: Remarks.

opinion of Head of Office whether the cla med is established and should be ed or not (See Rule 6, under Section ~~38~~ 37.) — The service claimed is established and should be admitted.

(Signed) [illegible]
Ag: Superintendent
Signature.
Bangalore Central Jail.

Certificate and Report of Deputy Comptroller.

ed that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in grades

duly proved for years months days; and that a

not exceeding Rupees is admissible under Section

he Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. The

is chargeable to

Comptroller's Office,

188

Comptroller Mysore.

Mr. W. Baylis, late Chief Jailor, Central Jail, Bangalore.

Service rendered in and pay drawn from Madras Government.

		yr.	ms.	ds.			
From 4th November 1859 to 30th April 1860		—	5	27	@ Rs. 100	=	590-—
— 1st May 1860 to 30th April 1862		2	—	—	@ " 150	=	3600-—
— 1st May 1862 to 23rd November 1874		12	6	23	@ " 200	=	30153-5-4
		15	—	20			34343-5-4

21 a

Service rendered in and pay drawn from Mysore Government.

		y.	m.	d			
From 24th November 1874 to 3rd February 1878		3	2	10	at Rs. 230/-	=	8818-4-11
— 4th February 1878 to 30th September 1882		4	7	25	at " 260/-	=	13973-3-5
		7	10	5			22791-8-4

Service rendered in Mysore for which Contribution for pension was paid.

From 1st October 1882 to 30th June 1887		4	9	0	at Rs. 250/-	=	14250-—
Grand total		27	7	25			71,384-13-8.

Pension at Rs. 125 per mensem being half of Rs. 250/- the average emoluments drawn during the last five years of service.

Calculation of proportion

As 71385 : 48593 :: 125 : 85—1—5 chargeable to Imperial Revenues.

As 71385 : 22792 :: 125 : 39—14—7 do to Mysore Government.

(Signed) H. Eagles.
for Comptroller.

Bangalore
2nd August 1892

"Office Copy."

5

No. 32 117

To

The Accountant
to the Resident
in Mysore

No: 2735 / 6694-92 dt 6-8-92
b

Enc 3.
A+A 5-86-31

Sir,

With reference
to the correspondence
ending with your letter
No. 218 / 99.6 dt 23rd
January 92, I am
directed to forward
copy of letter No. 814
dt 2nd August 92, from
the Comptroller to the
Govt of Mysore and
the ~~Govt~~ application
for Pension on behalf
of Mr. W. Bayliss, late
Chief Jailor, Central
Jail, Bangalore, therewith
received, and to
request that the

× Mark copy of the
Pension Application
Papers of Mr. Bayliss
Encl 3 to Govt
Office

Letter may be 11-10
transmitted to the
Accountant General,
Madras, for ~~verification~~
submission to the
~~sanction of the~~ Madras
Govt ~~with~~ with his
report thereon

2. The memo of
calculation showing
the apportionment of
Mr. Banks' pension
between Imperial and
India received from the
Comptroller is also
forwarded

Yours
[illegible]
10/8

Under Secy

No. 185 Ecnl 114
199-86

7734
20/1/93
G.3438

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

4445-46-8233

Dated Bangalore, 20th January 1893.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 2735 dated the 19th August 1892, I am directed to forward, for the information of the Durbar, a copy of the proceedings* of the Government of Madras sanctioning a pension of Rupees 125 per mensem to Mr. W. Baylis, late Chief Jailor, Central Jail at Bangalore, with effect from 1st July 1887. I am to request that the necessary intimation may be given to Mr. Baylis.

G694-92

* No. 24 dated the 14th January 1893.

2. This pension will be debited in the following proportions:

	R	A	P
Madras Government.....	80	8	2
Mysore ---	39	14	7½
Government of India	24	13	5½
	125	0	0

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

First Assistant Resident.

120

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following papers:-

From the Resident in Mysore to the Accountant General, Madras, dated 24th August 1892, No.2848
1892

Certificate and Report from the Accountant General, dated 17th December 1892, No.A.D.J.
449

Order thereon, 14th January 1893, No.24.

Under Articles 502 and 519 [b] of the Civil Service Regulations [1st Edition], the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Mr. William Baylis, late Chief Jailor, Central Jail, Bangalore, a Superannuation pension of Rupees [125-0-0] one hundred and twenty five per mensem payable at Saidapet Treasury, Chingleput District, from 1st July 1892 in consideration of his qualifying Superior service of Twenty seven years, seven months and Twenty seven days.

2. The pension sanctioned will be debited in the following proportions:-

Madras Government ....Rs 80-2-2
Mysore Government 39-14-7
Government of India.....24-15-3

3. A copy of this order will be forwarded to the Resident in Mysore for information.

[Copy]

Registrar

121

Read:— Letter No. 185 dated 29th January 1893, from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, forwarding copy of the Proc: of the Madras Govt. No. 24 dated 16th January 1893, sanctioning a pension of Rupees (125) one hundred and twenty five only per mensem to Mrs. W. Bayliss, late Chief Jailor, Central Jail Bangalore with effect from 1st July 1887, the portion debitable to Mysore Govt. being Rs. 39-14-7

---"---

order thereon

A copy of the Proc: of the Madras Govt. in question will be forwarded to the Comptroller to the

No 13070-1 of 7213-92 dated 26-1-93

34

AAS-86-33

for information
Govt of Guyana and
a copy to the
Inspector General of
Prisons in Guyana
for ~~information~~ com-
-municating to Mr
Bayliss.

122

26/1

Draft Mail

To, The Comptroller.

The Inspector General
of Prisons in Guyana

Office of the Dewan of Mysore

No. 33. Camp Mysore 21st March 1889

From.

K. Sheshadri Iyer Esqre., C. S. I.,
Dewan of Mysore

To.

Colonel Sir Oliver St John, K.C.S.I.,
Resident in Mysore

Sir,

In reply to the enquiry made in the concluding portion of para 2 of your office letter No. 4084/1996 dated the 4th December 1888, I have the honor to state that the Durbar will accept liability to pay a portion of Mr. Baylis' pension proportionate to his service in Mysore from 1874.

If the decision of the British Govt.

be that the orders of the Secretary of State contained in Despatches Nos 104 P and 69 P dated 9th November 1882 and 9th August 1883 respectively are not applicable to cases like the one in question, then, it would be simpler for the Durbar to bear pensionary charges according to the Rule of Proportions for the entire period of service in Mysore, than to pay a contribution for service since 1st October 1882 and a proportionate share of pension for service before that date.

I

II

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Dewan

Mr Bayliss' pension

125

.64.13-10 per month.

figures:-
by my sons for Rs 712.8.0. The other half is paid by Mr Bayliss who has deposited the amount in the Treasury.
re pension Rs. 39.14.7 per month.

Submitted.
It now appears that it ~~would~~ will be cheaper for the Mysore Govt. to pay a moiety of the contribution and the proportionate share of pension for Mysore service (no II above) than to pay pensionary charge according to Rule of proportions for the entire period of service in Mysore (no I above).
Submitted with a refused Draft letter to A/Sth Resident for approval
Mr 12/8/90